HANNAH-PAMPLICO ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE 2131 South Pamplico Highway Pamplico, South Carolina 29583 PK-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 828 Students Angela P. Keith 843-493-2061 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Steve W. Quick 843-493-2502 BOARD CHAIR Dr. W. L. Coleman 843-493-5273 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory U 23 15 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: NO This school met 15 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	41	83	85
Percent satisfied with learning environment	97.6%	56.6%	85.2%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	62.2%	75.0%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	73.2%	69.5%	82.7%

PERFURMA	

		15 .0		ىن /		/ 🔉	/ a	and
	/	AND TESTING	lested olo Bi	don Edisc	Basic of	Proficient %	Advanced Profi	Advanced State
	arolli	70,	(62. / V.	flon of	By O	S40, \	ACT OF	pq _{Ag} , te
	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	8)/ ``	0/0	·/ `			0/01	cienti arceci.
			Er	iglish/Lai	nguage A			
All students	552	99.5	31.9	48.1	17.9	2.1	20.0	17.6
Gender								
Male	272	99.3	37.9	48.8	12.1	1.2	13.3	17.6
Female	280	99.6	25.9	47.5	23.6	3.0	26.6	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group		00.0	40.0		05.0		00.5	47.0
White	261	99.2	19.9	50.6	25.3	4.1	29.5	17.6
African-American	276	99.6	43.0	46.0	10.6	0.4	11.0	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	15	100.0	26.7	46.7	26.7	N/A	26.7	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	457	100.0	28.7	47.7	21.0	2.5	23.5	17.6
Disabled	95	96.8	50.0	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	552	99.5	31.8	48.2	17.9	2.1	20.0	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	545	99.4	31.4	48.3	18.1	2.2	20.2	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	339	99.4	38.7	47.3	13.4	0.6	14.1	17.6
Full-pay meals	208	99.5	21.4	49.5	24.8	4.4	29.1	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	552	99.5	28.5	49.2	16.3	6.0	22.3	15.5
Gender								
Male	272	98.9	30.5	48.8	13.7	7.0	20.7	15.5
Female	280	100.0	26.6	49.4	19.0	4.9	24.0	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	261	99.6	16.9	48.8	25.6	8.7	34.3	15.5
African-American	276	99.3	40.1	48.5	7.6	3.8	11.5	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	15	100.0	13.3	66.7	20.0	N/A	20.0	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	457	100.0	22.6	51.6	18.8	7.0	25.8	15.5
Disabled	95	96.8	61.5	35.9	2.6	N/A	2.6	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	552	99.5	28.5	49.1	16.4	6.0	22.4	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	545	99.4	28.6	48.8	16.5	6.1	22.5	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	339	99.4	36.5	48.7	11.2	3.5	14.7	15.5
E. II. a. a. a. a. a. l.		400.0	40.4	40.0	040	0.7	00.0	45.5

16.4

100.0

Full-pay meals

49.8

15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	8404 . 0/0	0/086	30,	10 ol	6/2	ALC 0/0 Profi
		/ · ·			/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	85	N/A	17.1	45.1	36.6	1.2	37.8
	Grade 4	97	N/A	20.0	55.8	21.1	3.2	24.2
2002	Grade 5	83	N/A	23.2	64.6	12.2	N/A	12.2
20	Grade 6	77	N/A	28.8	41.1	26.0	4.1	30.1
	Grade 7	91	N/A	16.1	58.6	25.3	N/A	25.3
•	Grade 8	80	N/A	18.8	53.8	21.3	6.3	27.5
	Grade 3	86	98.8	25.3	42.7	30.7	1.3	32.0
	Grade 4	97	99.0	34.8	48.3	12.4	4.5	16.9
8	Grade 5	96	100.0	33.3	52.7	14.0	N/A	14.0
2003	Grade 6	97	100.0	42.4	41.3	10.9	5.4	16.3
	Grade 7	82	98.8	25.3	51.9	22.8	N/A	22.8
	Grade 8	94	100.0	28.3	51.1	19.6	1.1	20.7

				M	athematio	cs		
	Grade 3	85	N/A	28.9	42.2	19.3	9.6	28.9
	Grade 4	97	N/A	29.0	41.9	15.1	14.0	29.0
2002	Grade 5	83	N/A	33.7	39.8	20.5	6.0	26.5
20	Grade 6	77	N/A	21.1	40.8	26.8	11.3	38.0
	Grade 7	91	N/A	43.7	29.9	10.3	16.1	26.4
•	Grade 8	80	N/A	36.3	50.0	11.3	2.5	13.8
	Grade 3	86	98.8	22.4	53.9	18.4	5.3	23.7
	Grade 4	97	100.0	20.2	52.8	19.1	7.9	27.0
2003	Grade 5	96	100.0	26.9	51.6	18.3	3.2	21.5
20	Grade 6	97	100.0	28.3	46.7	15.2	9.8	25.0
	Grade 7	82	97.6	39.7	41.0	12.8	6.4	19.2
	Grade 8	94	100.0	33.7	48.9	14.1	3.3	17.4

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	ur School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 828)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	8.7%	Down from 12.5%	12.1%	14.4%
Retention rate	0.2%	Down from 6.0%	3.3%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	95.9%	Down from 96.4%	95.0%	95.2%
	13.0%	Down from 13.2%	12.4%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	9.7%	Up from 6.0%	15.0%	14.1%
	5.1%	Down from 5.4%	5.0%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	3.5%	No change	1.2%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 51)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	49.0%	Up from 47.2%	45.7%	47.1%
	90.2%	Down from 90.6%	79.3%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	90.0%	Up from 88.5%	82.2%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	93.9%	Down from 95.1%	94.9%	95.0%
	\$37,253	Down 1.7%	\$38,722	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	8.0 days	Up from 7.6 days	10.2 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.5	Up from 0.5	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.1 to 1	Up from 20.0 to 1	20.8 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	89.1%	Down from 90.9%	88.4%	88.9%
	\$4,905	Up 10.9%	\$5,984	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	66.5%	Down from 68.2%	63.2%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.4%	Up from 80.9%	93.1%	94.8%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
	,		,	•

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/Δ	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

		ū	
N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

HPEM is a child-centered, community school dedicated to providing a warm, friendly and challenging environment in which all students are offered the educational opportunities to be productive, responsible students. Our success is built on the belief that all children can learn if our educational family (students, parents, and faculty) works closely together. With the implementing of school-wide teaming, we have provided our students with a nurturing learning environment, which is highly focused on instruction. This year has been productive for us. We are proud of the accomplishment, made by our students and staff:

12 of our 8th graders were named SC Junior Scholars;

100% of our students participated in fine arts based programs, including the productions of a Junior Broadway Musical, Music Man and the performance of a Christmas extravaganza Music in the Air and a patriotic tribute This Is America; A fifth and eighth grade student won the Lt. Governor's Essay Contest; Newly formed Advanced Pep Band performed at sports activities and in concert.

Our dedicated staff is committed to achieving educational excellence by providing our students with quality instruction. This year all faculty members participated in a Curriculum Calibration Program sponsored by the SC State Department of Education (SDE) and an Applebaum Training Institute on Classroom Management. Mrs. Rhetta Calcutt was named district Teacher of the Year and Mrs. Sherry Barnhill was selected to serve as a Science Coach for the 2003-2004 school year through a SDE grant. Our staff received many high commendations from a SACS visiting team, which completed our requirements for full accreditation from the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission.

Parent, grandparents, family and community members showed strong support for our school with high attendance and participation in school activities and events such as Grandparent's Day, Field Day, Month of Young Adolescent Breakfast, Breakfast with Parents, family Fitness Night, the Arts Festival and PTO meetings.

As always, we are proud of our school and the community it represents. We anxiously await the opportunities and experiences of another great year at HPEM. Together We Can and will make a positive difference in the lives of the children we serve at HPEM.

Angela P. Keith, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.